

Ser. No. 11/202,710
Filed: 08/11/2005
Attny. Dkt. No. RLA35.049

Exr. Fuller, Rodney Evan
Art Unit 2862
Amendment "A"

REMARKS


Claims 1-11 were objected to in view of the use of "a pair of resistance tubings" as well as "second resistance tubing" and "third resistance tubing." By this Amendment, the claims have been amended so that the pair of resistance tubings are referred to as the first and second resistance tubings, the second resistance tubing is now the third resistance tubing and the third resistance tubing is now the fourth resistance tubing. Accordingly, this objection has now been overcome.

Because claims 2-16 were previously indicated to be in condition for allowance and prosecution was closed on the merits in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quale*, application should now be in condition for allowance.

Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

A Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a) is also submitted herewith.

Respectfully submitted



ROY L. ANDERSON, REG. NO. 30,240
WAGNER, ANDERSON & BRIGHT, LLP
3541 OCEAN VIEW BLVD.
GLENDALE, CA 91202
(818) 249-9300